

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/725,707	12/02/2003	Juan Ruben Valerio		4742		
75	90 10/19/3	005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
G. Turner Mol	ler		HINZE,	HINZE, LEO T		
Suite 720	Suite 720					
711 North Carancahua			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Corpus Christi,	TX 78745		2854	2854		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
_i		10/725,707	VALERIO, JUAN RUBEN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
		Leo T. Hinze	2854				
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appring for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
St	atus		•				
-	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
Di	sposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 10-12 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Αŗ	pplication Papers						
•	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a) 🗖 accepted or b) 🗌 object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/725,707

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton, US 3,996,879 (Walton) in view of Wirtschafter, US 4,448,541 (Wirtschafter).
- a. Regarding claim 1:

Walton teaches a medication reminder for a bottle tapered from a small generally circular bottom end to a large generally circular open mouth, the device comprising a

C-shaped support (14, Fig. 1) including a pair of arms having spaced apart ends (16, Fig. 1), the support being sized to receive the small bottom end of the bottle and resilient to expand and thereby captivate an intermediate section of the bottle ("made of a plastic material having some resilience", col. 2, Il. 42-43); and an old-fashioned indicator (26, Fig. 1) that requires a user to manually move a slider (32, Fig. 1) each time a medicine is taken. Walton teaches a cavity that can be used to contain circuitry (20, Fig. 1). Walton further teaches that serious health effects can be encountered if more than one pill is taken or if no pill is taken (col. 1, Il. 19-25).

Art Unit: 2854

Walton does not teach a circuit carried by the support including a battery receiver, an alarm, circuitry connecting the battery receiver and the alarm for energizing the alarm at predetermined times and a normally off switch exposed through an interior of the C-shaped support for closing the circuitry and starting the timer in response to placing a bottle into the interior of the support.

It has been held that mere automation of a manual activity is generally not sufficient to patentably distinguish an invention over the prior art. See MPEP § 2144.04 (III).

Wirtschafter teaches a medical timer apparatus including a circuit including a battery receiver (not shown, col. 4, l. 30), an alarm (12, Fig. 1), circuitry connecting the battery receiver and the alarm for energizing the alarm at predetermined times (circuitry not shown, col. 4, l. 22) and a normally off switch (13, Fig. 1) exposed through an interior of the body for closing the circuitry and starting the timer in response to placing a bottle into the interior of the support (col. 5, ll. 35-45). Wirtschafter teaches that it is important for a patient to take their medication as prescribed, and that missing a dose or doubling a dose can have serious consequences (col. 1, ll. 9-15).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update Walton by replacing the manually movable slider and non-alarm indicator with an automated alarm indicator similar to that of Wirtschafter, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the automated alarm of Wirtschafter may have the following benefits: automated operation that will make it less likely that a patient will forget to move the slider of Walton, thereby reducing the likelihood of missing or doubling a

Application/Control Number: 10/725,707

Art Unit: 2854

dose; the automated operation of Wirtschafter may be easier for small hands or older arthritic

Page 4

hands to operate than the tiny, seemingly difficulty to operate manual slider system of Walton.

b. Regarding claim 2, the combination of Walton and Wirtschafter substantially teaches all

that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above. Walton also teaches wherein the c-

shaped support provides a cavity (16, Fig. 1) capable of housing circuitry.

c. Regarding claim 4, the combination of Walton and Wirtschafter substantially teaches all

that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As combined in claim 1, the wholesale combination of the circuitry and controls of

Wirtschafter with the housing of Walton also teaches wherein the wherein the circuit provides a

timer (Wirtschafter, 12, Fig. 1) and the circuitry includes an adjusting device (control switches

19. Fig. 1) for adjusting the duration between energizing of the alarm, the adjusting device being

exposed through an exterior of the C-shaped support (see location of switches 19 on outside of

container, Fig. 1).

3. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton in

view of Wirtschafter as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Carlson, US

4,223,801 (Carlson).

a. Regarding claim 5:

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter substantially teaches all that is claimed as

discussed in the rejection of claim 2 above.

Page 5

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter does not teach wherein the circuit provides a receiver including an antenna for receiving a signal from a remote source for energizing the alarm at predetermined times.

Carlson teaches an automatic periodic drug dispensing system with crystal detectors (90, Fig. 8) that receive radio signals (col. 6, 1, 19), wherein the crystal detectors detect signals that signal to a patient when it is time to take a medication (col. 6, Il. 1-38). Carlson teaches that the extreme importance of timely taking medication is obvious to those skilled in the art.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Walton to include a system for receiving a signal from a remote source for energizing the alarm for predetermined times as taught by Carlson, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a redundant backup system to the normal alarm system would help ensure the no dosages are doubled or missed, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize the extreme importance of ensuring that the proper medications are taken at the proper time.

#### Regarding claim 6: b.

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter substantially teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter does not teach wherein the C-shaped support is non-opaque and the alarm is a light emitter having an output device inside the support so energization of the light emitter produces light inside the non-opaque support which is visible from exterior of the support.

Art Unit: 2854

Carlson teaches a non-opaque portion (the opening hole for light 98, Fig. 5) wherein the alarm is a light emitter (98, Fig. 5) having an output device inside the support (the light is housed inside the housing 74) so energization of the light emitter produces light inside the non-opaque support which is visible from exterior of the support.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Walton to add visual indication means to the alarm as taught by Carlson, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a visual alarm would be extremely advantageous for indicating to a person with full or even partial hearing loss that it is time to take their medication.

### c. Regarding claim 7:

The combination of Walton, Wirtschafter and Carlson substantially teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 6 above.

The combination of Walton, Wirtschafter and Carlson as set forth in the rejection of claim 6 does not teach wherein the alarm includes a second light emitter providing a different color from the first mentioned light emitter.

Carlson teaches wherein multiple lights 98 may be included, each with a different color (col. 6, l. 68 through col. 7, l. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Walton to include a second light emitter providing a different color from the first mentioned light emitter as taught by Carlson, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a multicolored indicator may be more

Page 7

Art Unit: 2854

noticeable by a patient with full or partial hearing loss, thereby helping ensure that the proper dosage is taken at the proper time.

# d. Regarding claim 8:

The combination of Walton, Wirtschafter and Carlson substantially teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 7 above.

The combination of Walton, Wirtschafter and Carlson as set forth in the rejection of claim 7 does not teach wherein the circuitry provides for alternately energizing the first light emitter and the second light emitter.

Carlson teaches that each of the lights may be separately energized for separate medicines (col. 6, l. 68 through col. 7, l. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Walton to include a second light emitter providing a different color from the first mentioned light emitter as taught by Carlson, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such multicolored, alternatingly energized indicators may be more noticeable by a patient with full or partial hearing loss, thereby helping ensure that the proper dosage is taken at the proper time.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton in view of Wirtschafter as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Shino, US 6,430,111 B1 (Shino).

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter substantially teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,707 Page 8

Art Unit: 2854

The combination of Walton and Wirtschafter does not teach wherein the battery terminals include terminals exposed through the support for engagement with a battery charger for recharging a battery in the battery receiver.

Shino teaches a battery operated electronic timepiece including terminals (301, 305, Fig. 1) that are for recharging an internal battery (col. 1, Il. 34-61).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Walton to include external terminals to recharge the batter as taught by Shino, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that batteries sometimes lose power and either must be replaced or recharged, and that recharging batteries would be more economical and environmentally friendly that constantly discarding and replacing used batteries.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,707 Page 9

Art Unit: 2854

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leo T. Hinze whose telephone number is (571) 272-2167. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo T. Hinze Patent Examiner AU 2854 14 October 2005 ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800